

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,443	07/28/2003	Eduardo Franco Queiroz	4597/0N029US0	5742
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 06/12/2007 RBY P C		EXAM	EXAMINER FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3724  MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE
P.O. BOX 770		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		H	4	
Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)		
		10/629,443	QUEIROZ	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tee to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N.' nely filed the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 M</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 7-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 7.8 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·	
Application	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e:37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
	·		•	
Attachment	(s)			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/629,443

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 03/13/07.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 5, "a conveyor system chain" should be change to 'the conveyor system'; and line 7, "a pair of teeth.." should be change to 'the pair of teeth.'. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 7, 8, 11-13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Newnes et al. (6,705,190).

Newnes et al. discloses the invention including:

Claim 7; a plurality of pair of saw blades 114, a conveyor system with chains
 126b, a plurality of pair of teeth 11 and 126.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,443 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

• Claim 8; a separate conveyor having a chain for each of the pair of saw blades (see Fig. 3).

- Claim 11; radial blades 114.
- Claim 13; saw blades increase in succession (see Fig. 2 and 3).
- Claim 14; Newnes et al. is capable of cutting an endocarp of coconut.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newnes et al. (6,705,190) in view of Joa (2,842,169).

Newnes et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a belt. However, Joa teaches the use of a belt 20 for the purpose of securely holding the workpiece during cutting. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Newnes et al. by providing the belt as taught by Joa in order to obtain a device that securely holds the workpiece during cutting.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,443

Art Unit: 3724

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ofs

6/11/07

BOYER D. ASHLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER